

By SYRCLE (<u>www.syrcle.nl</u>) Version 1.0 (July 2013)

	VERSION 1.0 (JULY 2013)				
ltem #	Section/topic	Description	Check for approval		
	General				
1.	Title of the review	Cell-based approaches in periodontal regeneration: A systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies			
2.	Authors (name, affiliation, contribution)	Xiangzhen Yan ^a , primary reseacher Fang Yang ^a , literature examiner Rob de Vries ^b , methodological supervision Jeroen van den Beucken ^a , project director ^a Biomaterials, Radboud University Medical Center ^b SYRCLE, Central Animal Laboratory			
3.	Other contributors (name, affiliation, contribution)				
4.	Contact person + e-mail address	Xiangzhen Yan (Xiangzhen.yan@radboudumc.nl)			
5.	Date of protocol registration	13-01-2015 (protocol completed 10-03-2014)	\square		
	Background				
6.	What is already known about this disease/ model/ intervention? Why is it important to do this review?	The regeneration of periodontal tissues remains a challenging clinical problem. Cell-based approaches have been assessed in periodontal regeneration in many animal models with promising results. Nonetheless, no meta- analytical assessment of the relevant literature has been undertaken to quantify the positive effect of cell-based approaches in animal models. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to perform a systematic review of animal studies using cell-based approaches for periodontal regeneration.			
	Objectives of this SR				
7.	Specify the disease / health problem of interest	Periodontal defects			
8.	Specify the population /species studied	Animal models	\square		
9.	Specify the intervention/exposure	Cell-based strategies	\square		
10.	Specify the control population	Scaffold-based strategies	\square		
11.	Specify the outcome measures	New bone, cementum, periodontal ligament formation			
12.	State your research question (based on point 7-11)	What is the efficacy of cell-based approaches, compared to scaffold-based approaches, in animal models for periodontal regeneration?			
	Methods:				
	Search and study identification				
13.	Identify literature databases to search (<i>e.g.</i> Pubmed, Embase, Web of science)	 Pubmed Web of Science SCOPUS EMBASE Other, namely [type here] Specific journal(s), namely [type here] 	\boxtimes		

14.	Define electronic search strategies (<i>e.g.</i> use the <u>step by step search guide</u> [1] and animals search filters [2, 3])	Please add a supplementary file containing your search strategy: available upon request of the contact author	\boxtimes
15.	Identify other sources for study identification	 Reference lists of included studies Books Reference lists of relevant reviews Conference proceedings, namely [type here] Contacting authors/ organisations, namely [type here] Other, namely [type here] 	
16.	Define search strategy for these other sources	Screening the reference lists for relevant titles and screening the abstracts of these relevant titles	\square
	Study selection procedure		
17.	Define screening phases (<i>e.g.</i> pre- screening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)	 pre-screening based on titles abstract screening full text screening 	\boxtimes
18.	Specify number of observers per screening phase	 pre-screening based on titles - 2 observers abstract screening - 2 observers full text screening - 2 observers 	\boxtimes
	Study selection criteria. Define all inclusion and exclusion criteria based on:		
19.	Type of study (design)	Inclusion criteria: Data should be presented for cell-based approaches (test) and scaffold-based approaches (control). Exclusion criteria: absence of scaffold-based approaches (control)	\boxtimes
20.	Type of animals/ population (<i>e.g.</i> age, gender, disease model)	Inclusion criteria: animal models with periodontal defects Exclusion criteria: in vitro, human	\boxtimes
21.	Type of intervention (<i>e.g.</i> dosage, timing, frequency)	Inclusion criteria: cell-based approaches Exclusion criteria: other approaches	\boxtimes
22.	Outcome measures	Inclusion criteria: New bone, cementum, periodontal ligament formation Exclusion criteria: other outcome measures	\boxtimes
23.	Language restrictions	Inclusion criteria: all languages Exclusion criteria: none	\boxtimes
24.	Publication date restrictions	Inclusion criteria: all publication dates Exclusion criteria: none	\boxtimes
25.	Other	Inclusion criteria: original paper/primary study Exclusion criteria: not an original paper (review, letter)	\boxtimes
26.	Sort and prioritize your exclusion criteria per selection phase	Selection phase pre-screening based on titles 1. clearly not about periodontal regeneration 2. clearly not about cell-based approaches Selection phase abstract screening 1. original paper 2. in vivo animal studies 3. periodontal regeneration 4. cell-based approaches Selection phase full text screening 1. original paper 2. in vivo animal studies	

		 periodontal regeneration cell-based approaches 	
		5. study design (test and control)	
		6. other outcome measures	
		7. no locally applied cells	
	Study characteristics to be extracted		
	(for assessment of external validity,		
	reporting quality)		
27.	Study ID (<i>e.g.</i> authors, year)	authors, year	\boxtimes
	Study design characteristics (e.g.		
28.	experimental groups, number of	experimental groups, number of animals	\bowtie
	animals)		
29.	Animal model characteristics (<i>e.g.</i>	species, gender, defect types	\boxtimes
	species, gender, disease induction) Intervention characteristics (<i>e.g.</i>	Cell types, amount of cells, cell passage number, scaffold	
30.	intervention, timing, duration)	types, duration of follow-up	\boxtimes
31.	Outcome measures	New bone, cementum, periodontal ligament formation	\square
32.	Other (<i>e.g.</i> drop-outs)	New sone, cementari, periodontaringament formation	
	Risk of bias assessment (internal		
	validity)		
33.	Define criteria to assess the internal validity of included studies (<i>e.g.</i> selection, performance, detection and attrition bias)	By use of SYRCLE Risk of Bias tool By use of SYRCLE Risk of Bias tool, adapted as follows: Items 9 and 10 of the tool will be not scored; two reporting questions will be added: a) Was it stated that the experiment was randomised at any level? b) Was it stated that the experiment was blinded at any level?	
		other, namely [type here]	
	Data collection		
34.	For each outcome measure, define the type of data to be extracted (<i>e.g.</i> continuous/ dichotomous, unit of measurement)	Continuous data	\boxtimes
		Outcome data will be extracted if mean, standard	
35.	Methods for data extraction/ retrieval (<i>e.g.</i> extraction from graphs, contacting authors)	deviation (SD) or standard error (SE), and number of defects per group (n) are reported, or can be recalculated. If SE is reported, this SE will be converted to SD for meta- analysis. If data are only presented graphically, data will be re-measured based on the distances of figures using a universal on-screen digitizer software (Universal Desktop Ruler v3.6.3481, AVPSoft.com) when possible.	
	Data analysis/ synthesis		
	Specify how you are planning to		<u></u>
36.	combine the data (<i>e.g.</i> descriptive summary, meta-analysis)	Meta-analysis	\bowtie
	Specify how the decision as to		
37.	whether a meta-analysis is	Meta-analysis will be performed if more than 10 studies	\boxtimes
	appropriate will be made	can be included	
	If a meta-analysis seems feasible:		
20	Specify the effect measure to be used		
38.	(<i>e.g.</i> mean difference, standardized	standardized mean difference	\boxtimes

	mean difference, risk ratio, odds ratio)				
39.	Specify which study characteristics will be examined as potential source of heterogeneity (sensitivity analysis)	animal species, sex and cell type	\boxtimes		
40.	Specify subgroups and comparisons of interest	see item 39; only subgroups that contain more than three experiments will be included in the subgroup analyses.	\boxtimes		
41.	Specify method of analysis (<i>e.g.</i> random or fixed effects model)	random effects model	\boxtimes		
42.	Specify the method for assessment of risk of publication bias	Publication bias will be assessed by visually evaluating the possible asymmetry in funnel plots.	\boxtimes		
	Other				
43.	Describe any expected limitations of your systematic review	poor reporting of animal studies in scientific publications	\boxtimes		
Final approval by:					
Xiangzhen Yan (Biomaterials) Fang Yang (Biomaterials) Date: 10 March 2014 Rob de Vries (SYRCLE) Jeroen van den Beucken (Biomaterials)					