|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Assessment form External Assessor (1 or 2)Research training period 2 MSc Molecular Mechanisms of Disease**Assessment form for **External Assessor 2023****MMP2A1/MMP2A/ MMP2B1/MMP2B;**student cohort 2021 | Radboudumc logo engels.JPG |

* Two external assessors are appointed by the Board of Examiners to assess the internship report of research training period 2.
* This form is to be filled in by each external assessor separately, they assess independently from each other.
* **This form needs to be uploaded to Osiris Case within 3 weeks after the student submitted the report to Osiris Case.**
* In certain cases (e.g. difference in report grades given by external assessors of more than 1.0) a third assessor will be appointed by the Board of Examiners. The final report grade will then be the mean of the 3 grades.
* Preferably the student meets the assessor to receive feedback on the grading; the student will be able to view the assessment via Osiris Case and can make an appointment with the assessor to receive feedback.
* Technical questions during the upload/assessment in Osiris Case need to be sent to: osiriscasesupport.rha@radboudumc.nl

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name and initials student |  | Student number |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Title of research training period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| Host department, institute, city, country |  |  |  |  |
|  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name External assessor assigned by the Board of Examiners |  | E-mail |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Assessment

Research training period 2 is assessed by the Internship Supervisor who assesses professional attitude and activities, oral presentation (parts A and B) on a separate form for supervisors.

The internship report (part C) will be assessed by two assigned external assessors independently from each other. Each external assessor fills in a separate “External Assessor” form.

**Final grade research training period 2 is calculated as follows:**

1. 40% Assessment of the student’s professional attitude and activities during research training period by Supervisor
2. 10% Oral presentation and defence graded by Supervisor
3. 25% Assessment of written report by External Assessor 1;

 25% Assessment of written report by External Assessor 2

In order to pass research training period 2 all components included in the final grade of parts A-C should be graded 5.5 or higher. This minimum grade does not count for the individual items/boxes which help to count towards the final grade of parts A-C.

**Other questions to be addressed**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| Is the mandatory translational paragraph present in the report? | **Yes** 🞎 | **No** 🞎 |

***If this paragraph is missing the report cannot be graded and needs to be resubmitted with the translational paragraph included.***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| Does the Urkund similarity report give rise to suspicions of potential plagiarism/fraud? ***If the answer is yes please contact the Board of Examiners for further instructions.*** | **Yes** 🞎 | **No** 🞎 |

**Part C. Assessment of written report by External Assessor**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Report Form and Structure *- weight 20% of part C*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |
| Overall quality of the report’s layout | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Visual quality of figures and tables (eg. layout, setup, size) | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Appropriate legends of Figures/Tables | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Overall writing skills and grammar use | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| **Grade Report Form and structure**Assessment of the student’s performance on “Report Form and structure”. Grade (1-10; half grades are possible). |       |
| Motivation      |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Report Content – *weight 80% of part C*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** |
| Quality of the abstract | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Quality of the introduction | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Justification of the scientific problem | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Justification of the research design | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Description of the research materials and methods | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Descr. and justification of the data analysis | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Description and interpretation of the results | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Quality of figures and tables (content) | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Scientific quality of the discussion | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Quality of **obligatory** translational paragraph | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| Correct citations / references | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 | 🞎 |
| **Grade Report Content**Assessment of the student’s performance on “Report Content”. Grade (1-10; half grades are possible). |       |
| Motivation      |

**Final grade part C. Assessment of written report External Assessor**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **20% Report Form and Structure plus 80% Report Content**Overall assessment of the student’s written report. Please calculate from the scores as given above (20% Report Form and Structure plus 80% Report Content) and round to a grade 1-10, with possible half grades (e.g. 6.5 or 7.5). 6=sufficient, 7=fair, 8=good, 9=excellent, 10=exceptional.  | **Final grade part C** |
| Motivation      |  |

Signature of External Assessor  Date:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |       |