

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEW PROTOCOL FOR ANIMAL INTERVENTION STUDIES

# FORMAT BY SYRCLE (<u>www.syrcle.nl</u>) Version 2.0 (December 2014)

Item #	Section/Subsection/Item	Description	Check for approval
	A. General		
1.	Title of the review	Experimental design in methotrexate efficacy studies for rheumatoid arthritis	
2.	Authors (names, affiliations, contributions)	CHC Leenaars DH de Jong T Coenen FR Stafleu RBM de Vries M Ritskes-Hoitinga	
3.	Other contributors (names, affiliations, contributions)	Reumazorg Nederland HU Schrerer JB Prins FLB Meijboom	
4.	Contact person + e-mail address	Cathalijn.Leenaars@radboudumc.nl	
5.	Funding sources/sponsors	NWO	
6.	Conflicts of interest	-	
7.	Date and location of protocol registration	19-02-2016 SYRCLE website	
8.	Registration number (if applicable)	-	
9.	Stage of review at time of registration	Planned	
	B. Objectives		
	Background		
10.	What is already known about this disease/model/intervention? Why is it important to do this review?	When developing new medication for rheumatoid arthritis (RA), complementary animal models are used to predict clinical effects. These models cause substantial discomfort to the experimental animals. It is unknown how effective the animal models are in predicting clinical efficacy. The clinical trials and animal studies for the classical disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are accepted as a viable research tool. Methotrexate is a widely used DMARD. Presently a clear overview of the used experimental designs and the differences between designs in clinical trials and animal studies is lacking. It will be provided by this SR.	
	Research question		
11.	Specify the disease/health problem of interest	Rheumatoid arthritis (RA)	
12.	Specify the population/species studied	Animals (including humans)	
13.	Specify the intervention/exposure	Methotrexate	
14.	Specify the control population	Untreated, placebo or other control	
14. 15.		Untreated, placebo or other control Any  1.) Are the experimental designs of the pre-clinical	

		clinical trials?	
		2.) Are the improvements (in swelling, pain, bone-and	
		cartilage damage) found in RA animal models	
		comparable with the improvements found in	
	C. Methods	patients?	
	Search and study identification		
	Search and study identification	V. ASSUME : D. LAN J	
	Identify literature databases to search (e.g. Pubmed, Embase, Web of science)	XMEDLINE via PubMed	
17.		□scopus <b>X</b> embase	
		Other, namely:	
		☐Specific journal(s), namely:	
18.	Define electronic search strategies (e.g. use the step by step search guide 15 and animal search filters 20, 21)	Search strategy provided below.	
		XReference lists of included studies ☐Books	
		XReference lists of relevant reviews	
19.	Identify other sources for study identification	□Conference proceedings, namely:	
		☐Contacting authors/ organisations, namely:	
		□Other, namely:	
20.	Define search strategy for these other	Titles in reference lists of included studies and retrieved	
20.	sources	reviews will be screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria specified below.	
	Study selection		
	Define screening phases (e.g. pre-	Phase 1: screening based on title and abstract	
21.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full	Phase 1: screening based on title and abstract Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles	
21.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)		
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.	
21.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis	
	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials and methods)	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial  Type of study (design)	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2  → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial  Type of study (design)	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials and methods)  • Not a primary study/no new data	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial  Type of study (design)  Type of animals/population (e.g. age, gender, disease model)	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials and methods)  • Not a primary study/no new data  Inclusion criteria:  • Any animal (including humans)  Exclusion criteria: other type of study.	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial  Type of study (design)  Type of animals/population (e.g. age, gender, disease model)  Type of intervention (e.g. dosage,	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials and methods)  • Not a primary study/no new data  Inclusion criteria:  • Any animal (including humans)  Exclusion criteria: other type of study.  Inclusion criteria: Methotrexate	
22.	Define screening phases (e.g. prescreening based on title/abstract, full text screening, both)  Specify (a) the number of reviewers per screening phase and (b) how discrepancies will be resolved  Define all inclusion and exclusion criterial  Type of study (design)  Type of animals/population (e.g. age, gender, disease model)	Phase 2: full-text screening of the eligible articles  Phase 1: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  Phase 2: 2 → Discrepancies will be resolved by a third reviewer.  a based on:  Inclusion criteria:  • Any full paper describing an efficacy study to methotrexate.  Exclusion criteria:  • Study not addressing rheumatoid arthritis  • No methotrexate  • Not an efficacy study  • Abstracts (without a full description of materials and methods)  • Not a primary study/no new data  Inclusion criteria:  • Any animal (including humans)  Exclusion criteria: other type of study.	

		Exclusion criteria:
		Inclusion criteria: Any language
27.	Language restrictions	Exclusion criteria: -
		Inclusion criteria: Any date
28.	Publication date restrictions	Exclusion criteria: -
		Inclusion criteria:-
29.	Other	Exclusion criteria:-
		Selection phase 1:
		1.Not rheumatoid arthritis
		2. Not an animal model or a clinical trial
		3. No methotrexate
		4. Not an efficacy study
30.	Sort and prioritize your exclusion	
30.	criteria per selection phase	Selection phase 2:
		1. Not rheumatoid arthritis
		2. Not an animal model or a clinical trial
		3. No methotrexate
		4. Not efficacy
		5. Not a primary study/no new data
	Study characteristics to be extracted	(for assessment of external validity, reporting quality)
		1st author
		• Year
31.	Study ID (e.g. authors, year)	• Title
		• Journal
		• Language
		Animal studies:
		Number of animals
		Control group
		Laboratory temperature  Laboratory by spiriting
		Laboratory humidity
		Laboratory lighting regime  Lightin
	Study design characteristics (e.g. experimental groups, number of animals)	Habituation period (after arrival)  Landling (V(N/2))
		Handling (Y/N/?)      Caga size
		<ul><li>Cage size</li><li>Number of animals per cage</li></ul>
		Randomisation
		Blinding
22		Power calculations
32.		Comorbidities
		Human studies:
		Number of patients
		Diagnostic criteria (used for inclusion)
		Control group
		Time zone
		Geographic location
		Randomisation
		Blinding
		Power calculations
		Number of centres
		Patient population
		- I dilett population

		Disease status	
		Treatment status	
		Comorbidities	
		Comedication	
		Animal	
		Strain, substrain	
	Animal model characteristics (e.g.	• Line	
33.	species, gender, disease induction)	• Sex	
	openies, geneer, and are managed,	Age (/ weight)	
		Disease model	
		Method of model induction +	
		Time of model induction	
34.	Intervention characteristics (e.g.	o Dose	
	intervention, timing, duration)	o Frequency	
		Type of treatment	
		Time of treatment	
		Administration route	
35.	Outcome measures	Any	
36.	Other (e.g. drop-outs)	% survival, humane endpoints, drop-out (+ reason)	
	Assessment risk of bias (internal validity	y) or study quality	
	Specify (a) the number of reviewers		
37.	assessing the risk of bias/study quality	2	
57.	in each study and (b) how	<b> </b>	
	discrepancies will be resolved		
		XBy use of SYRCLE's Risk of Bias tool <sup>4</sup>	
	Define criteria to assess (a) the	_	
	internal validity of included studies	☐ By use of SYRCLE's Risk of Bias tool, adapted as follows:	
38.	(e.g. selection, performance,	☐ By use of <u>CAMARADES' study quality checklist</u> , e.g <sup>22</sup>	
30.	detection and attrition bias) and/or		
	(b) other study quality measures (e.g.	By use of CAMARADES' study quality checklist, adapted	
	reporting quality, power)	as follows:	
		XOther criteria, namely: <u>Cochrane risk of bias tool</u>	
	Collection of outcome data		
	For each outcome measure, define		
20	the type of data to be extracted (e.g.	Anuan manidad	
39.	continuous/dichotomous, unit of	Any as provided	
	measurement)		
	Methods for data extraction/retrieval	4. Data autoration from test teller and for	
40	(e.g. first extraction from graphs using	1. Data extraction from test, tables, and figures	
40.	a digital screen ruler, then contacting	2. In case of graphic data digital image software will be	
	authors)	used to obtain these data.	
	Specify (a) the number of reviewers	A random sample of at least 5% of the included papers will	
41.	extracting data and (b) how	be checked by an independent observer for accuracy of	
	discrepancies will be resolved	data-extraction.	
	Data analysis/synthesis		
	Specify (per outcome measure) how		
	you are planning to combine/compare		
42.	the data (e.g. descriptive summary,	Descriptive summary + tabulation	
	1		
	meta-analysis)		

43.	Specify (per outcome measure) how it will be decided whether a meta-analysis will be performed	For the following outcome measures a meta-analysis is considered: Degree of inflammation, cartilage-and bone destruction, mobility and anti-citruline data.  Meta-analysis will be performed if at least 3 informative human and 3 informative animal papers on the outcome measures are retrieved by our searches.	
	If a meta-analysis seems feasible/sensib	ple, specify (for each outcome measure):	
44.	The effect measure to be used (e.g. mean difference, standardized mean difference, risk ratio, odds ratio)	(Standardized) mean difference	
45.	The statistical model of analysis (e.g. random or fixed effects model)	Random effects model	
46.	The statistical methods to assess heterogeneity (e.g. I <sup>2</sup> , Q)	l <sup>2</sup>	
47.	Which study characteristics will be examined as potential source of heterogeneity (subgroup analysis)	Considered: Species and model type	
48.	Any sensitivity analyses you propose to perform	-	
49.	Other details meta-analysis (e.g. correction for multiple testing, correction for multiple use of control group)	-	
50.	The method for assessment of publication bias	Funnel plots	
	approval by (names, affiliations): DH de CHC Leenaars, RBM de Vries (Syrcle)	Date:	

# **Search strategies Pubmed:**

## Rheumatoid arthritis:

Arthritis, Rheumatoid [MeSH] OR Rheumatoid Arthritis [tiab] OR (Rheumatoid [tiab] AND Nodul\* [tiab]) OR (Rheumatoid [tiab] AND Vasculiti\* [tiab]) OR Arthritis, Experimental [MeSH] OR RA model\* [tiab] OR rheumatic arthritis [tiab] OR

((Collagen-Induced Arthritides [tiab] OR Collagen-Induced Arthritis [tiab] OR (Arthritides [tiab] AND collagen [tiab]) OR (arthritis[tiab] AND (collagen[tiab]) OR (Collagen [tiab]) AND antibody [tiab]AND induced [tiab] AND arthritis [tiab]) OR collagens[tiab] OR adjuvant\*[tiab] OR experimental[tiab])) OR Arthritides [tiab] OR Collagen type II [MeSH] OR (Type II [tiab]) AND (Collagen [tiab]) OR Procollagen [tiab] OR Col2a1 [tiab]) OR chondrocalcin [tiab])) OR

CIA [tiab] OR Proteoglycans [Mesh] OR Proteoglycans [tiab] OR Proteoglycan [tiab] OR PGIA [tiab] OR HSPG [tiab] OR Proteoheparan Sulfate\* [tiab] OR glypican\* [tiab] OR syndecan\* [tiab] OR CD138 Antigens [tiab] OR CD138 Antigen [tiab] OR Fibroglycan [tiab] OR Ryudocan [tiab] OR Amphiglycan [tiab] OR Proteochondroitin Sulfate [tiab] OR Proteochondroitin Sulfates [tiab] OR Aggrecans [tiab] OR Aggrecan [tiab] OR Versicans [tiab] OR Versican [tiab] OR Biglycan [tiab] OR Decorin [tiab] OR DSPG-II [tiab] OR Hyalectins [tiab] OR Brevican [tiab] OR Neurocan [tiab] OR Lectins, C-Type [tiab] OR Nerve Tissue Proteins [tiab] OR Citrulline [MeSH] OR Citrul\* [tiab] OR Freund\* [tiab]) OR Mycobacterium tuberculosis

[MeSH] OR Mycobacterium tuberculosis [tiab] OR Mycobacterium butyricum [tiab] OR Antigen induced arthritis [tiab] OR AIA [tiab] OR (Streptococcal [tiab] AND induced [tiab] AND arthritis [tiab]) OR SCW-A [tiab] OR CAIA [tiab] OR K/BxN model [tiab] OR G6PI-induced arthritis [tiab] OR SKG [tiab] OR TNF transgenic [tiab] OR gp130 arthritis model [tiab] OR IL-1 transgenic [tiab] OR pristane induced arthritis [tiab] OR PIA [tiab] OR oil induced arthritis [tiab] OR OIA [tiab]) AND (RA [tiab] OR rheumatism [tiab]))

#### Human

clinical study [pt] OR clinical trial [MeSH] OR clinical trial [tiab] OR intervention study [tiab] OR controlled clinical trial [MeSH] OR clinical trial as topic [MeSH] OR first in man [tiab] OR proof of concept [tiab] OR randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR drug therapy [sh] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [tiab] OR groups [tiab]

Animal: standard Syrcle animal filter

#### Methotrexate

methotrexate [MeSH] OR methotrexate [tiab] OR MTX [tiab] OR Ametopterine [tiab] OR Mexate [tiab] OR Abitrexate [tiab] OR Emthexate [tiab] OR Emthexate [tiab] OR Farmitrexate [tiab] OR Folex [tiab] OR Ledertrexate [tiab] OR Methoblastin [tiab] OR Methohexate [tiab] OR Methotrate [tiab] OR Methylaminopterin [tiab] OR Methotrexate [tiab] OR Novatrex [tiab] OR Rheumatrex [tiab] OR metoject [tiab] OR maxtrex [tiab]

## **Search strategies Embase:**

## **Rheumatoid arthitis**

Rheumatoid arthritis/ OR rheumatoid arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR

((Type II and (Collagen OR Procollagen or Col2a1 OR chondrocalcin)).ti,ab. OR exp experimental arthritis/ OR exp adjuvant arthritis/ OR exp collagen type 2/ OR exp proteoglycan/ OR exp proteoheparan sulfate/ OR exp aggrecan/ OR exp citrulline/ OR exp freund adjuvant/ OR Glypican\$2.ti,ab,kw. OR Syndecan\$2.ti,ab,kw. OR CD138 Antigens.ti,ab,kw. OR CD138 Antigen.ti,ab,kw. OR Heparan Sulfate\$.ti,ab,kw. OR Chondroitin Sulfate Proteoglycans.ti,ab,kw. OR Chondroitin Sulfate Proteoglycan.ti,ab,kw. OR Proteochondroitin Sulfate.ti,ab,kw. OR Proteochondroitin Sulfates.ti,ab,kw. OR DSPG-II.ti,ab,kw. OR Lectins, C-Type.ti,ab,kw. OR Nerve Tissue Proteins.ti,ab,kw. OR Citrul\$.ti,ab,kw. OR adjuvant induced arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR Mycobacterium tuberculosis.ti,ab,kw. OR Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv.ti,ab,kw. OR Mycobacterium butyricum.ti,ab,kw. OR Antigen induced arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR AIA.ti,ab,kw. OR (Streptococcal AND induced AND arthritis).ti,ab,kw. OR SCW-A.ti,ab,kw. OR (Collagen AND antibody AND induced AND arthritis).ti,ab,kw. OR BxN model.ti,ab,kw. OR G6PI-induced arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR TNF transgenic.ti,ab,kw. OR gp130 arthritis model.ti,ab,kw. OR IL-1 transgenic.ti,ab,kw. OR pristane induced arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR oil induced arthritis.ti,ab,kw. OR ((CIA OR PGIA OR HSPG OR Glypicans OR Glypican OR Syndecans OR Syndecan OR Fibroglycan OR Ryudocan OR Amphiglycan OR Aggrecan OR Aggrecans OR Versicans OR Versican OR Biglycan OR Decorin OR Hyalectins OR Brevican OR neurocan OR SKG OR PIA OR OIA OR CAIA).ti,ab,kw.) AND (RA.ti,ab,kw. OR rheumatism.ti,ab,kw.))

### Human

exp clinical trial/ OR clinical study/ OR human subject.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical drug trial.ti,ab,kw. OR major clinical trial.ti,ab,kw. OR trial, clinical.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical study.ti,ab,kw. OR phase 1 clinical trial.ti,ab,kw. OR phase 2 clinical trial.ti,ab,kw. OR phase 3 clinical trial.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, controlled.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase 1.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase 2.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase 3.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase I.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase II.ti,ab,kw. OR clinical trial, phase III.ti,ab,kw. OR cl

Animal: standard Syrcle animal filter

### Methotrexate:

methotrexate/ OR methotrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR MTX.ti,ab,kw. OR Ametopterine.ti,ab,kw. OR Mexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Abitrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Emtexate.ti,ab,kw. OR emthexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Farmitrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Folex.ti,ab,kw. OR Ledertrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Methoblastin.ti,ab,kw. OR Methohexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Methotrate.ti,ab,kw. OR Methotrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Methotrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Methotrexate.ti,ab,kw. OR Novatrex.ti,ab,kw. OR Rheumatrex.ti,ab,kw. OR metoject.ti,ab,kw. OR maxtrex.ti,ab,kw.